

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization) Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

A Research Study of Phytohormones on Vegetative Growth and Flowering Behavior in Gladiolus (Gladiolus Grandiflorus)

Arya Sunita¹, Dubey Rajesh Kumar²

D.G. College, Kanpur, C.S.J.M. University, Kanpur, UP, India.¹ Director, Prakriti Educational & Research Institute, Lucknow, UP, India.²

ABSTRACT: A study was carried out to investigate the effect of plant growth regulators on growth and flowering of Gladiolus varieties Archana (V₁), Friendship (V₂), Mukta (V₃), White Goddesse (V₄), Decise (V₅), Green Wood Paeker (V₆), American Beauty (V₇), Bright Eye (V₈), and Tropic Seas (V₉). Phytohormones are chemical messengers produced in one part of plant and translocated to the other parts, where they play critical roles in regulating plant responses to stress at extremely low concentration. Phytohormones are natural products and they called plant growth regulators, when they are synthesized chemically.

KEYWORDS: Growth, Flowering, Growth Regulators, Gladiolus

I. INTRODUCTION

Gladiolus (from Latin, the diminutive of *gladius*, a sword) is a genus of perennial cormous flowering plants in the iris family (Iridaceae). It is sometimes called the 'Sword lily, but usually by its generic name (plural *gladioli*). The genus occurs in Asia, Mediterranean Europe, South Africa, and tropical Africa. The center of diversity is in the Cape Floristic Region. The genera *Acidanthera, Anomalesia, Homoglossum,* and *Oenostachys,* formerly considered distinct, are now included in *Gladiolus*. Gladiolus (*Gladiolus grandiflorus*) belongs to family Irridaceae. It is grown primarily for cut



flowers and toa limited extent for landscaping and exhibition purposes. Production of quality flowers as well as plants depends on vigorous pre flowering vegetative) growth. Pre flowering growth depends on the amount and availability of macro- and micronutrients in the soil. Potassium is one of the most important macronutrients that affect growth of gladiolus.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

Gladiolus is one of the important floriculture crops, grown for its magnificent spikes, useful both as cut flowers and for garden display. Gladiolus is an ornamental bulbous plant, occupies a prime position in floriculture industry and is largely grown for beautifying gardens and homes. Use of growth bio-regulators in Horticulture has brought a great revolution in floriculture industry. Importance of bio-regulators in the field of floriculture is well recognized and outstanding. Growth and flowering regulating bio-regulators were reported to be very effective in manipulating growth behavior and flowering in gladiolus. Among the growth regulators, gibberellins have a special position and are well known for stimulating growth of various plant species including gladiolus.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Present experiment was laid out to study the effect of bio-regulators on growth and flowering behaviour in gladiolus with three replications in Randomized Block Design during 1998-99 at C.S.A. University of Agriculture and Technology, Kanpur. Uniform carms of Archana (V_1), Friendship (V_2), Mukta (V_3), White Goddesse (V_4), Decise (V_5), Green Wood Paeker (V_6), American Beauty (V_7), Bright Eye (V_8), and Tropic Seas (V_9) varieties were sown after treating them with gibberellic acid (GA₃) at 00, 250 and 500 ppm. Data on vegetative and reproductive parameters were recorded and presented in different tables for deriving the results.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The GA3 application at different stages not only effected on plant growth and development but also effected on nutrient concentration. The results showed that GA3 application at different growth stages tended to reduce the N, P and K concentrations in aboveground part organs. It has been reported that the application of GA reduced the uptake of nitrogen and phosphorus relative to the cations, and this is a common response in pea (Garcia and Guardiola, 1981). Therefore, when GA3solution was supplied at different stage, the effect of GA3on cell elongation and cell expansion might be more effective than the other treatment, as a result the GA3application at T5 reduced the uptake of nutrients. It was found that height of plant as influenced by GA treatment indicated encouraging results (Table-1, 2).

Treatments	0.0 ppm 250 ppm		500 ppm	Average
/ Variety	(G ₀)	(G ₁)	(G ₂)	
V ₁	40.266	50.333	40.333	43.644
V_2	42.365	44.066	44.800	43.944
V ₃	36.466	42.800	42.266	40.511
V_4	42.933	51.466	49.800	48.066
V ₅	46.600	54.533	48.000	49.711
V_6	45.000	53.466	50.400	49.633
V_7	48.000	47.600	50.000	48.200
V ₈	34.666	40.233	89.800	38.266
V ₉	36.866	42.066	40.266	30.733
SE	2.545	1.469	4.407	
C.D. at 5%	5.105	2.915	N.S.	1

Table-1: Response GA treatments vegetative growth parameters.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

(b) Effect on number of leaves/plant

Treatments /	0.0 ppm	250 ppm	500 ppm	Average
Variety	$(\mathbf{G_0})$	(\mathbf{G}_1)	(\mathbf{G}_2)	_
V ₁	7.333	8.666	7.666	7.888
V_2	11.000	11.333	10.000	10.777
V ₃	8.000	10.000	9.000	9.000
V_4	8.666	10.666	10.000	9.777
V ₅	8.666	10.000	9.000	9.222
V ₆	9.666	10.666	10.333	10.111
V ₇	8.333	9.333	9.333	9.000
V ₈	8.000	8.000	8.333	8.111
V ₉	8.333	9.000	8.666	8.666
SE	0.432	0.249	0.748	
C.D. at 5%	0.866	0.5003	1.501	

(c) Effect of Length of leaf (cm)

Treatments /	0.0 ppm (G ₀)	250 ppm	500 ppm	Average
Variety		(G ₁)	(G ₂)	
\mathbf{V}_1	37.733	39.900	40.833	39.488
V_2	40.166	45.300	47.500	44.392
V_3	38.766	46.666	44.033	43.155
V_4	40.333	45.500	44.300	43.377
V_5	38.333	44.366	47.000	43.233
V_6	38.766	42.633	39.566	40.122
V_7	29.400	36.466	34.700	33.522
V_8	28.333	37.700	34.333	33.455
V_9	34.600	35.600	37.133	35.777
SE	2.545	1.469	4.409	
C.D. at 5%	5.106	2.948	N.S.	

(d)Effect on Width of leaf (cm)

Treatments / Variety	0.0 ppm (G ₀)	250 ppm (G ₁)	500 ppm (G ₂)	Average
V ₁	2.733	2.833	2.933	2.833
V_2	3.233	3.700	3.533	3.488
V ₃	3.933	4.066	4.100	4.033
V_4	3.400	3.633	3.766	4.033
V_5	3.373	4.833	4.233	3.600
V_6	3.066	3.066	3.066	4.133
V_7	2.700	2.833	3.133	2.988
V_8	2.600	2.666	3.066	2.888
V_9	3.266	3.733	3.966	2.777
SE	0.250	0.144	0.434	
C.D. at 5%	0.503	0.290	N.S.	



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

Table 2 :Response of GA treatments to reproductive growth and spike parameters.(a) Effect on spike emergence (days)

Treatments /	0.0 ppm	250 ppm	500 ppm	Average
Variety	(G ₀)	(G ₁)	(G ₂)	
V_1	72.000	69.000	69.666	70.222
V_2	75.333	72.666	74.666	74.222
V_3	92.333	78.666	73.333	31.444
V_4	85.333	68.666	70.333	74.777
V_5	70.333	68.000	70.000	69.444
V_6	68.666	60.666	66.666	67.333
V_7	61.666	60.666	60.333	60.777
V_8	70.000	66.000	68.000	68.000
V_9	63.000	62.333	68.000	62.777
SE	1.940	1.20	3.361	
C.D. at 5%	3.893	2.248	6.743	

(b) Effect on length of spike (cm)

Treatments /	0.0 ppm	250 ppm	500 ppm (G ₂)	Average
Variety	(\mathbf{G}_0)	$(\overline{\mathbf{G}_1})$		_
V ₁	32.233	37.233	36.200	35.222
V_2	27.433	33.533	39.739	33.566
V_3	34.466	35.133	35.300	34.966
V_4	38.433	38.533	37.166	38.044
V ₅	31.533	45.233	45.400	40.722
V_6	49.833	49.039	46.100	48.322
V ₇	48.000	47.833	48.733	48.188
V_8	32.733	32.366	31.100	32.666
V ₉	32.600	50.233	51.200	44.677
SE	3.512	2.028	6.089	
C.D. at 5%	7.045	4.067	N.S.	

(c) Effect on Diameter spike (cm)

Treatments	0.0 ppm	250 ppm	500 ppm
/ Variety	$(\overline{G_0})$	(\mathbf{G}_1)	(\mathbf{G}_2)
\mathbf{V}_1	0.000	0.833	0.844
V_2	0.900	0.866	0.922
V ₃	0.866	0.833	0.844
V_4	0.900	0.966	0.933
V ₅	0.900	1.000	0.944
V_6	0.899	0.866	0.844
V ₇	0.733	0.733	0.766
V_8	0.733	0.700	0.688
V_9	0.833	0.833	0.822
SE	0.0305	0.0176	0.0529
C.D. at 5%	0.0612	N.S.	N.S.



(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

Treatments /	Concentration of GA ₃			
Variety	0.0 ppm (G ₀)	250 ppm (G ₁)	500 ppm (G ₂)	Average
V ₁	5.566	6.200	6.300	6.022
V ₂	4.800	5.466	5.333	5.200
V ₃	5.733	5.833	6.100	5.888
V_4	5.700	6.066	5.866	5.877
V ₅	5.466	6.233	6.000	5.900
V ₆	5.600	5.966	5.333	5.633
V ₇	6.966	7.366	6.933	7.088
V_8	5.100	5.500	5.566	5.388
V9	4.900	6.500	5.900	5.766
SE	0.282	0.163	0.239	
C.D. at 5%	0.566	0.326	N.S.	

(d) Effect on length of flower (cm)

It is evident from the data that variety (V_5) revealed that maximum plant height (49.711 cm) GA treatments induced significantly superior plant height in gladiolus at all the stages in comparison to control. GA treatments also produced significantly more number of leaves in the same treatment. Foliar application of GA at 500 ppm also increased the number of leaves significantly superior to control. Treatment of 250 ppm produced large number of leaves per plant as compared to 500 ppm and control treatments. Similar results have been found by Bhattacharjee (1983, 1984), Jana and Biswas (1982), Chaudhary (1987) and Singh *et al.* (1995).

It is obvious from the table-1 that the maximum length of leaves was recorded with variety 2 which was significantly greater than control. Plants under Bright Eye showed significant minimum leaf length followed by American Beauty and Tropic Seas. Treatment of 500 ppm increased significantly leaf length (47.500) in variety V₂. However, variety V₅ showed the maximum width of leaf (4.133) but treatment 250 ppm maximum width (4.833 cm) where as it was minimum 2.777 cm in variety (V₈). Present findings are in accordance with the results reported by Pathak *et al.* (1980) and Sujata (1983).

The number of days required for spike emergence in gladiolus were found to be influenced with GA treatments which varied significantly. Minimum period requirement has shown positive results. The higher treatment of GA at 500 ppm produced early spikes which took significantly lesser period for spike emergence in comparison to control. Length of spike was also found affected by GA treatment at higher concentration. Foliar application of GA at 500 and 250 ppm increased length of spike significantly as compared to control. GA at 500 ppm produced the maximum length of spike 51.200 cm in V9 variety. Similar findings have also been observed by Mathur and Sharma (1969), Jana *et al.* (1979), Biswas *et al.* (1983) and Kumar *et al.* (1998).

It is apparent that diameter of spike length of floret in treatments 250 and 500 ppm were found the maximum in variety V_5 and V_2 . The maximum length of floret was found 7.366 and 6.933 cm in V_7 in 250 ppm and 500 ppm treatments, respectively. Interaction between varieties and GA treatments proved improving in respect of length of the flowers. Singh *et al.* (1995) also confirmed the present findings in gladiolus.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bhattacharjee, S.K. (1983). Influence of growth regulating chemicals on Hippeastrum hybridum. Hort. Gdns. Bull. 36 (2) : 237-242.
- 2. Bhattacharjee, S.K. (1984). The effect of growth regulating chemicals on gladiolus. Gartenbauwissenschaft. 49 (32) : 103-106.
- 3. Biswas, J.; T.K. Bose and B.G. Maiti (1983). Effect of growth substances on growth and flowering of tuberose (Pollianthes tuberosa L.). South Indian Hort. 31 (2/3) : 195-200.
- 4. Chaudhary, M.L. (1987). Effect of growth regulators on growth and flowering of tuberese (Pollianthes tuberosa L.). Ann. Agric. Sci. Shams. Univ. 32 (2): 1451-1457.
- 5. Garcia, L. A. and L. J. Guardiola. 1981. Effect of gibberellic acid on ion uptake selectivity in pea seedling. Planta, 153: 494-496.



ISSN: 2319-8753

International Journal of Innovative Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(An ISO 3297: 2007 Certified Organization)

Vol. 2, Issue 11, November 2013

- 6. Goldblatt, P. &, J.C. Manning. Gladiolus in Southern Africa : Systematics, Biology, and Evolution. Fernwood Press, Cape Town; 1998.
- GOLDBLATT P. & DE VOS M. P. The reduction of Oenostachys, Homoglossum and Anomalesia, putative sunbird pollinated genera, in Gladiolus L. (Iridaceae - Ixioideae). Bulletin du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle. Section B, Adansonia 11 (4): 417-428, 1989.
- 8. Jana S.K. and S. Biswas (1982). Effect of growth regulators on growth and flowering of tuberose. South Indian Hort. 30 (2): 163-165.
- 9. Kumar, R.; S. Arya; R. Katiyar and A. Srivastava (1998). Response of bio-regulators on growth and flowering behaviour of gladiolus. J. Biochemi. Society. 1 (1): 48-53.
- 10. Manning, John; Goldblatt, Peter (2008). The Iris Family: Natural History & Classification. Portland, Oregon: Timber Press. pp. 138-42. ISBN 0-88192-897-6.
- 11. Mathur, S.N. and R.A. Sharma (1969). Influence of gibberellic acid on flowering and critical day length of Dahlia. Abst. IIth Int. Bot. Cong. Seattle PP : 142.
- 12. Pathak, S.; M.A. Chaudhary and S.K. Chatterjee (1980). Germination and flowering in different size bulbs of tuberose (Pollianthes tuberosa L.). Indian J. Pl. Physiel. 23 (1): 47-54.
- 13. Sujata, K. (1983). Stuides on the effect of growth regulating chemicals in some bulbous arnamental plants. M.Sc. Thesis UAS Bangalore.
- 14. Singh, K.; R. Kumar; S. Arya and A. Prasad (1995). Studies on corm and flower quality in gladiolus. XXVII, XXVIII National Symp. Soil Water. Pollution and its remedial measure held at Allahabad Abst. pp : 8.
- 15. Shorter Oxford English dictionary: 6th edition. United Kingdom: Oxford University Press. 2007. ISBN 0199206872.